

Judicial Assessment of Sexual Offence Allegations: Medico-Legal Lessons from Cases of Acquittal, Retraction and Proven Fabrication

Abhishek Sharma¹, Ishika Sharma², Sheetal Sharma², Isha Gupta²

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri Government Medical College and Hospital, Mandi at Nerchowk, Himachal Pradesh, India. ²Department of Forensic Medicine, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri Government Medical College and Hospital, Mandi at Nerchowk, Himachal Pradesh, India

Abstract

Background: False allegations of sexual offences represent a sensitive medico-legal and judicial challenge, requiring a balance between safeguarding genuine victims and preventing injustice to those falsely implicated. While legislative reforms have strengthened victim-centric justice, courts have also acknowledged that fabricated or malicious allegations may occur, and that such cases can cause irreversible reputational damage, wrongful incarceration, and diversion of investigative resources. Importantly, a distinction must be maintained between proven false allegations and cases that fail to result in conviction due to evidentiary limitations. **Material and Methods:** This narrative judicial review analysed selected Indian and international cases involving sexual offence allegations that were judicially disbelieved, withdrawn, or determined to involve false implication. Publicly accessible judgments and documented case reports were reviewed, and case-wise judicial summaries were prepared to identify recurring patterns in credibility assessment and evidentiary appreciation. **Results:** Across jurisdictions, common factors contributing to failure of prosecution included material contradictions and improvements in testimony, delay in reporting, absence of corroborative circumstances, and lack of supportive medical or scientific evidence where discussed in the judicial record. Courts consistently reiterated that medical evidence is primarily corroborative and must be interpreted within its limitations, and that adverse outcomes should not automatically be equated with falsity unless fabrication is established. **Conclusion:** This review highlights the need for evidence-based investigation, neutral medico-legal documentation, and careful judicial scrutiny to ensure protection of genuine victims while enabling accountability in cases of demonstrably false implication.

Keywords: False allegations, Sexual offences, Rape complaint, Medico-legal evidence, Judicial scrutiny, Criminal Justice System.

Received: 05 April 2026

Revised: 25 April 2026

Accepted: 13 May 2026

Published: 30 June 2026

INTRODUCTION

Allegations of sexual offences, including sexual assault, rape, sexual harassment, and allied offences, constitute one of the most sensitive and complex areas at the interface of forensic medicine and the criminal justice system. These cases require a careful balance between protecting the dignity and rights of genuine victims and safeguarding the fundamental rights and liberty of the accused. Forensic medicine plays a pivotal role by providing objective medico-legal evidence to assist courts in evaluating the credibility of allegations. Standard forensic literature emphasizes that the medical expert's role is limited to scientific documentation and interpretation of findings, while issues of consent and culpability remain matters for judicial determination.^[1,2]

The legal framework governing sexual offences in India has evolved significantly over time. In India, the Indian Penal Code (IPC), enacted in 1860, originally adopted a narrow and largely gender-specific approach to sexual offences, focusing primarily on rape and offences against modesty. Subsequent judicial interpretation and recommendations of law reform bodies led to expansion of these provisions to address broader forms of sexual violence and sexual harassment.^[3] The recent replacement of the IPC by the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) marks a major restructuring of criminal law.

Although many substantive provisions relating to sexual offences have been retained, their reclassification has important medico-legal implications, as statutory definitions directly influence the scope and interpretation of medical evidence.^[4]

While legislative reforms aimed to strengthen victim-centric justice, judicial experience has increasingly highlighted instances of false accusations and misuse of legal and medico-legal provisions. False accusations must be distinguished from cases that result in acquittal due to lack of evidence or procedural deficiencies. The Supreme Court of India has repeatedly cautioned that acquittal does not automatically imply falsity of the allegation, as sexual offences are often committed in private and may leave little corroborative forensic evidence.^[5] At the

Address for correspondence: Dr. Abhishek Sharma, Assistant Professor, Department of Forensic Medicine, Shri Lal Bahadur Shastri Government Medical College and Hospital, Mandi at Nerchowk, Himachal Pradesh, India.
E-mail: abhirpgmc@gmail.com

DOI:
10.21276/amit.2026.v13.i2.791

How to cite this article: Sharma A, Sharma I, Sharma S, Gupta I. Judicial Assessment of Sexual Offence Allegations: Medico-Legal Lessons from Cases of Acquittal, Retraction and Proven Fabrication. *Acta Med Int.* 2026;13(2):900-904.

same time, courts have acknowledged that deliberate false implication does occur and can cause grave injustice to the accused while undermining the credibility of genuine victims.^[6]

Judicial decisions in false accusation cases frequently identify cultural and sociological factors such as stigma associated with consensual relationships, familial pressure, honour-related concerns, matrimonial discord, workplace conflicts, and interpersonal disputes.^[6,7] From a forensic medicine perspective, judicial analysis also reveals that medico-legal evidence is sometimes misinterpreted or selectively appreciated during adjudication, resulting in medical findings being “lost in translation”.^[8] Comparative perspectives from jurisdictions with gender-neutral sexual offence laws further highlight how statutory design influences medico-legal evaluation and judicial outcomes.^[9] The present study aims to analyze selected judicial judgments involving false accusations of sexual offences, with emphasis on medico-legal evidence and judicial interpretation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design: This article is a narrative judicial review of selected Indian and international cases involving allegations of sexual offences that were later discredited, withdrawn, proven fabricated, or found to be fabricated or malicious.

Data sources and search strategy

Cases were identified from publicly accessible legal repositories and databases (e.g., Indian Kanoon and other open legal sources) and through cross-referencing citations within relevant judgments. A keyword-based search was performed using terms such as false allegation, false implication, fabricated complaint, sexual assault, rape, medical evidence (where discussed) and related legal provisions.

Eligibility criteria

Cases were included if (i) they involved allegations of sexual offences, (ii) sufficient case details were available for interpretation, and (iii) the judgment/case documentation contained reasoning suggestive of false implication, fabrication, retraction/recantation, or credibility failure. Cases were excluded if they lacked adequate documentation, were duplicative, or involved acquittal without meaningful discussion of evidence or credibility.

Data extraction and analysis: For each case, information was extracted on jurisdiction, allegation type, relationship context, delay in reporting, testimonial inconsistencies, medico-legal findings, references of medical evidence (where available), corroborative material, and judicial reasoning/outcome. Findings were synthesised qualitatively and grouped into recurring medico-legal and judicial themes.

Ethical considerations: This review was based on publicly available judgments and documented reports; no direct patient data or human participant involvement occurred. Therefore, ethical approval was not required.

RESULTS

A selection of Indian and international judicial decisions and

documented case reports involving allegations of sexual offences that were later judicially disbelieved, withdrawn, or found to be fabricated/malicious were reviewed. The cases represent diverse jurisdictions; however, recurring judicial observations were noted regarding credibility assessment, internal consistency of testimony, and evidentiary appreciation.

Case wise summaries:

Case 1 (USA, 2006) (North Carolina Attorney General)

A high-profile allegation of gang rape resulted in extensive investigation and prosecution. Subsequently, the competent authority dismissed the charges and the accused persons were formally declared innocent. The case became notable for concerns regarding handling of evidence and procedural fairness during prosecution. It demonstrates how credibility assessment and evidentiary review can significantly alter outcomes even in widely publicised cases.^[10]

Case 2 (United Kingdom, 2017) (Southwark Crown Court)

This case involved multiple allegations of rape and sexual assault that were later found to be false. The complainant was convicted for perjury and perverting the course of justice. The decision highlights the seriousness with which courts treat proven false allegations due to the major impact on investigative resources and reputational harm to falsely implicated individuals.^[11]

Case 3 (India, 2021) (High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh)

The case involved allegations of rape and criminal intimidation, along with invocation of provisions under the SC/ST (Prevention of Atrocities) Act. The trial court had convicted the accused and awarded life imprisonment. On appeal, the High Court found serious inconsistencies in witness testimonies, absence of injuries, lack of medical corroboration, and absence of evidence supporting the caste-based element. The conviction was set aside and the accused was acquitted after prolonged incarceration.^[12]

Case 4 (USA, 2023) (Santa Clara County, California-Prosecutorial Proceedings)

A reported complaint of sexual assault in a university setting led to police and institutional actions. During investigation and judicial scrutiny, the allegations were reported to be fabricated, and the complainant faced perjury/false reporting-related criminal proceedings. The case reflects how such allegations can cause widespread community anxiety and diversion of resources, reinforcing the need for evidence-based investigation.^[13]

Case 5 (United Kingdom, 2023) (Crown Court Proceedings)

Rape allegations were made against multiple men in this case and were subsequently determined to be false. The complainant was convicted for perverting the course of justice and sentenced to imprisonment. The case underlines judicial recognition of the harm caused by deliberate false implication and the importance of accountability where falsity is proven.^[14]

Case 6 (India, 2023) (High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla)

The State appealed against acquittal in a case involving charges under IPC, POCSO Act and SC/ST Act. The FIR was lodged after ~8 months, and the prosecution story included allegations of drugging, gang rape, and WhatsApp uploading of obscene content. The High Court found contradictions, improvements and concealments in testimony, absence of external injuries, and lack of semen/blood detection in RFSL examination. SFSL findings

did not support the alleged obscene uploads, and the Court upheld acquittal and dismissed the appeal.^[15]

Case 7 (United Kingdom, 2025) (Leicestershire Criminal Proceedings)

A false rape allegation involving multiple persons resulted in conviction and imprisonment for perverting the course of justice. The case demonstrates the magnitude of investigative burden created by such allegations and the consequences imposed by courts where deliberate false reporting is established. It supports the distinction between “proven false cases” and acquittals arising from lack of evidence.^[16]

Case 8 (India, 2025) (Special Judge (SC/ST Act), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh)

This matter related to prosecution for furnishing false information and instituting false criminal charges under IPC Sections 182 and 211. The court convicted the complainant for lodging a false gang rape complaint and emphasised the misuse of protective statutory provisions and compensation mechanisms. The decision is significant as falsity was judicially established and penal consequences followed.^[17]

Case 9 (India, 2025) (ACJM (South West), Dwarka Courts, New Delhi)

A medical practitioner initiated defamation proceedings after facing arrest and custody due to allegations of rape/sexual harassment that were later retracted on oath during trial, resulting in acquittal in the underlying case. The Magistrate Court held that false allegations amounted to defamatory imputations, were published through police/court proceedings, and caused serious harm to reputation. Conviction was recorded under IPC Sections 499 and 500, noting that statutory exceptions were not applicable.^[18]

Case 10 (India, 2026) (Chandigarh District Court)

A rape allegation underwent investigation and the proceedings culminated in case closure due to lack of prima facie evidence/acceptance of cancellation. This case is important to classify separately from “proven false allegations,” as closure may reflect evidentiary insufficiency rather than deliberate fabrication. It reinforces the judicial caution that adverse outcome for prosecution is not synonymous with false complaint in every instance.^[19]

Summary of cases is given in Table 1.

Thematic Observations:

Across the reviewed cases, recurring grounds for judicial disbelief or findings adverse to prosecution included:

1. Material contradictions and improvements in versions of events.
2. Delay in lodging FIR/complaint with insufficient explanation in the factual context.
3. Lack of medical corroboration or absence of supportive findings where medical evidence was discussed.
4. Failure of independent corroboration including digital evidence claims not being supported where examined.
5. Motives linked to relationship, social pressure, workplace or interpersonal conflict, as inferred from surrounding circumstances in judicial reasoning.
6. Courts consistently reiterated that acquittal or case closure does not automatically equate to falsity, unless fabrication/malicious intent is established.

DISCUSSION

False sexual offence allegations, though representing only a subset of reported cases, present a unique medico-legal and judicial challenge due to the high stakes involved for both the complainant and the accused. The present review, comprising selected Indian and international cases, highlights that courts across jurisdictions repeatedly emphasise the need for a careful distinction between a “false allegation” and a case ending in acquittal due to evidentiary limitations. This distinction remains crucial, because sexual offences may be committed in private and may not always yield extensive corroborative forensic evidence; therefore, adverse judicial outcomes cannot be interpreted simplistically as proof of falsity.^[15,19]

A recurring judicial pattern observed across the reviewed cases was the central role of credibility assessment. Courts frequently relied on internal consistency of testimony, stability of the narrative, and the presence or absence of material contradictions and improvements. In several matters, inconsistencies in witness statements and discrepancies between allegation and surrounding circumstances weakened prosecution reliability and resulted in failure to prove guilt beyond reasonable doubt.^[12,15] This aligns with established Supreme Court principles that while the testimony of a victim may be sufficient to sustain conviction if found wholly reliable, a witness who does not meet the threshold of a “sterling witness” requires cautious judicial appreciation.^[20] The review also demonstrates that medico-legal evidence, when available in the judicial record, was often treated as corroborative, neutral, or contradictory depending on the context. Courts recognised that absence of injuries does not exclude sexual assault; however, when lack of supportive medical evidence is coupled with other credibility concerns such as delayed reporting, contradictions, or insufficient circumstantial support, the prosecution may fail to discharge its burden of proof.^[12,15] This reinforces the forensic principle that medical findings must be interpreted objectively and within their limitations, and that the role of the medical expert remains confined to scientific documentation rather than adjudication of consent.^[21,22]

An important theme observed particularly in the Indian context was the overlap between sexual offence litigation and complex socio-cultural realities. Courts noted factual circumstances suggestive of interpersonal conflict, relationship disputes, social pressure, or retaliatory motivations in some matters, requiring a balanced approach.^[12,18] Such cases should not lead to routine scepticism against complainants, as this may simultaneously harm genuine survivors and reduce confidence in the justice process.^[15] The present review therefore supports a dual approach: strong victim-centric safeguards for genuine complainants, alongside accountability measures where falsity is demonstrably established through judicial findings or subsequent prosecution for false implication.^[17,18]

The international cases reviewed further demonstrate that consequences of false allegations may extend beyond individual parties and can cause broader social harm, including diversion of police resources and long-term reputational damage. Proven false allegations have resulted in convictions for perjury and perverting the course of justice in some jurisdictions, reflecting deterrent legal responses where fabrication is established.^[11,14,16]

This is consistent with prosecutorial guidance that treats such conduct as serious, particularly when the false reporting triggers heavy investigative action.^[23]

Recommendations:

Based on the reviewed judgments and case outcomes, the following measures may strengthen fairness and scientific neutrality:

1. For investigating agencies: timely registration and evidence preservation, incorporation of independent corroboration (including digital evidence where relevant), and avoidance of predetermined assumptions in either direction.^[15,19]
2. For medico-legal professionals: complete documentation, adherence to standard sexual violence examination guidelines, and avoidance of over-interpretation of negative findings.^[21,22]
3. For judicial processes: continued emphasis on separating “false” from “unproven” cases, while initiating appropriate action for perjury/false implication where fabrication is judicially established.^[17,18,23]
4. For systems and policy: strengthening victim support mechanisms and ensuring expeditious trials to reduce prolonged trauma for victims and prolonged stigma for the accused.^[21,22]

Limitations:

This review has certain limitations. First, it is a narrative judicial review based on a selected set of cases and therefore does not estimate the prevalence of false allegations.^[15,19] Second, the included matters represent different jurisdictions with varying legal standards and terminology, limiting direct generalisability across settings.^[11,16] Third, many judgments do not reproduce full medico-legal or forensic reports, restricting analysis of medical evidence to what is recorded in the judicial text.^[15] Finally, the findings are derived from judicial reasoning and documented outcomes, and independent verification beyond the judicial record was not possible.^[16]

CONCLUSION

False sexual offence allegations, when proven, can cause grave injustice to accused individuals and may undermine public confidence in genuine complaints. However, acquittal or case closure alone should not be equated with falsity, as sexual offences often lack extensive corroborative evidence and depend heavily on credibility assessment and contextual judicial evaluation. The reviewed Indian and international cases demonstrate that courts consistently prioritise scrutiny of contradictions, delay patterns, corroborative circumstances, and the limited but relevant role of medico-legal evidence. A balanced approach is therefore essential—one that protects genuine victims through sensitive procedures and timely justice, while ensuring safeguards against demonstrably malicious or fabricated allegations through evidence-based investigation and appropriate legal accountability.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Modi JP. *Modi's Medical Jurisprudence and Toxicology*. 27th ed. New Delhi: LexisNexis; 2022.
2. Kannan K, Mathiharan K. *Medical Jurisprudence and Toxicology*. 25th ed. Chennai: LexisNexis; 2021.
3. Law Commission of India. 172nd Report on Review of Rape Laws. New Delhi; 2000. Available at: <https://www.commonlii.org/in/other/lawreform/INLC/2000/2.html>
4. Government of India. *The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita*, 2023. Ministry of Law and Justice; 2023.
5. *State of Punjab v Gurmit Singh*. (1996) 2 SCC 384. Available at: <https://www.casemine.com/judgement/in/5609af58e4b01497114161d9>
6. UNFPA, Commonwealth Human Rights Initiative (CHRI). *Understanding the Dynamics of Sexual Violence: A Study of Case Records*. New Delhi; 2016. Available from: <https://feministlawarchives.pldindia.org/wp-content/uploads/Understanding-Dynamics-of-Sexual-Violence-Study-of-Case-Records.pdf>
7. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). *Handbook on effective prosecution responses to violence against women and girls*. Vienna: UNODC; 2014. Available from: https://www.unodc.org/documents/justice-and-prison-reform/V1402565-HB_on_effective_prosecution_responses_to_violence_against_women_and_girls.pdf
8. Du Mont J, White D, McGregor MJ. *The uses and impacts of medico-legal evidence in sexual assault cases: A global review*. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007. Available from: <https://www.svri.org/sites/default/files/attachments/2016-03-17/The%20uses%20and%20impacts%20of%20medicolegal%20evidence%20in%20sexual%20assault%20cases.%20A%20global%20review.pdf>
9. Temkin J. *Rape and the Legal Process*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002.
10. N.C. Attorney General Roy Cooper's Statement (Duke lacrosse case), 11 April 2007. Available at: <https://goduke.com/news/2007/4/11/860193>.
11. The Guardian. *Woman jailed for 10 years for making series of false rape claims (Jemma Beale)*. 24 Aug 2017. Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/society/2017/aug/24/woman-jailed-10-years-false-rape-claims-jemma-beale>.
12. *Vishnu v State of Uttar Pradesh*. High Court of Judicature at Allahabad (Uttar Pradesh), decided 28.01.2021. Available at: <https://www.casemine.com/judgement/in/65c1d1c5129fea58e5ea9c64>.
13. *Stanford-related false rape allegation case (Jennifer Gries) — Santa Clara County proceedings (media reports)*. Available at: <https://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/stanford-rape-hoax-jennifer-gries-b2297590.html>.
14. Associated Press. *Fake sex abuse claims get British woman 8.5 year prison term*. March 2023. Available at: <https://apnews.com/article/8207569f6809f03f159e518065fcf26d>.
15. *State of Himachal Pradesh v Ved Prakash & Ors*. Cr. A. No. 217 of 2018. High Court of Himachal Pradesh, Shimla; decided 01.09.2023. Available at: https://www.verdictum.in/pdf_upload/state-of-himachal-pradesh-v-ved-prakash-orswatermark-1542607.pdf.

16. Leicestershire Police. Woman sentenced for perverting course of justice following lengthy rape investigation (Chloe May). 8 Dec 2025. Available at: <https://www.leics.police.uk/news/leicestershire/news/2025/december/woman-sentenced-for-perverting-course-of-justice-following-lengthy-rape-investigation/>.
17. Court of Special Judge (SC/ST Act), Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh. Judgement in Case No. 758/2025 (IPC 182/211), dated 16/06/2025. Available at: https://lucknow.dcourts.gov.in/wp-admin/admin-ajax.php?es_ajax_request=1&action=get_order_pdf&input_strings=eyJjaW5vIjoiVVBMSzAxMDAzMDkwMjAyNSIsIm9yZGVyX25vIjoyLCJvcml9kYXRlIjoiMjAyNS0wNi0xNiJ9.
18. Dr Monish Raut v Ms Jayshree & Ors. Court of the Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate (South West), Dwarka Courts, New Delhi; judgment dated 26.08.2025. Available at: <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/51139859/>.
19. Chandigarh District Court. Order accepting cancellation/closure due to lack of prima facie evidence in rape allegation matter, dated 29/01/2026. Available at: <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/chandigarh/chandigarh-court-accepts-cancellation-report-in-rape-case-against-former-jjp-leader-godara/articleshow/127729101.cms?>
20. Rai Sandeep @ Deepu v State (NCT of Delhi). (2012) 8 SCC 21. Available from: <https://indiankanoon.org/doc/151551795/>.
21. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. Guidelines & Protocols: Medico-legal care for survivors/victims of sexual violence (for medical examination standards). Available from: <https://mohfw.gov.in/?q=en/reports/guidelines-and-protocols-medico-legal-care-survivors-victims-sexual-violence>.
22. World Health Organization. Guidelines for medico-legal care for victims of sexual violence. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240001411>.
23. United Kingdom. Perjury Act 1911 [Internet]. London: Legislation.gov.uk; 1911. Available from: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo5/1-2/6/contents>.